

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.434 of 2025

Sanjay Kumar Son of Lala Mahato Resident of Village- Mundipur, P.S.-
Aungaari, P.O.- Ranipur, District- Nalanda- 801301.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Secretariat, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Nalanda, Bihar.
3. The Land Acquisition Officer, Nalanda, Bihar.
4. The Adharbhoot Sanrachana Vikas Pradhikaran Bhoomi Bank, through its Managing Director, Nalanda, Bihar.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajit Kumar Ojha, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Standing Counsel (1)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 16-03-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the following relief(s):

“a. Issue a writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to reassess and revise the compensation awarded to the petitioner under the business purpose rate card slab applicable to the Bhoomi Bank acquisition.

b. Direct the respondents to pay the differential amount, along with interest, from the date of acquisition until the date of actual payment.

c. Pass such other order (s) as this



Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the interest of justice."

3. A counter-affidavit has come on behalf of the State-respondents duly signed by the Additional Land Acquisition Officer, Nalanda and learned State counsel has taken this Court to paragraph-7 to submit that the matter was earlier referred before the learned Special Judge, Land Acquisition, Biharsharif where the same is pending.

4. With the consent of the parties, this Court is of the opinion that as the said matter is presently pending before the Special Land Acquisition Judge, Biharsharif (Nalanda), it has to be taken to its logical conclusion, if still is pending.

5. In that background, the writ petition stands disposed of allowing the petitioner to file proper petition along with the order of this Court before the concerned Court who shall be taking the matter to its logical conclusion, if still not concluded, after hearing all the necessary parties preferably within a period of ten months from the date, the copy of the order is presented before the said Court.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

