

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.88931 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-133 Year-2025 Thana- SAKURABAD District- Jehanabad

1. Devi Dayal Yadav @ Devi Dayal Singh S/o- Late Harihar Singh R/v- Parshan Bigha Ps- Shakurabad Dist- Jehanabad
2. Malti Devi W/o- Devi Dayal Yadav @ Devi R/v- Parshan Bigha Ps- Shakurabad Dist- Jehanabad
3. Pintu Kumari W/o- Shidhnath Kumar, D/o- Devi Dayal Yadav @ Devi Dayal Singh R/v- Lalman Bigha Ps- Kako Pali Dist- Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Uday Narayan Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Sevak Choudhary, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 12-01-2026 Heard Mr. Uday Narayan Singh, learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr. Ram Sevak Choudhary, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Shakurabad P.S. Case No. 133 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 20.05.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 103(1), 123, 238, 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, the informant alleged that the petitioners killed his sister, namely, Rekha Devi (now, deceased) due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have clean antecedent and they have falsely been implicated in the present case. Infact the informant is not the eye



witness of the alleged occurrence and the petitioners are made accused merely on the basis of suspicion. He further submits that petitioner no.1 is father-in-law, petitioner no.2 is mother-in-law and petitioner no.3 is married sister-in-law of the deceased and the husband of the deceased is in judicial custody since 05.06.2025 and apart from bare perusal of the FIR it appears that there is no specific allegation of assault or overt act against the petitioners rather the allegation against them are general and omnibus in nature.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, petitioners have clean antecedent and they are in-laws of the deceased and the husband of the deceased is in judicial custody since 05.06.2025, let the petitioners, above named, in the event of arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional District Sessions Judge-IX, Jehanabad in connection with Shakurabad P.S. Case No. 133 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of



the Code of Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

i. Petitioners shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on their absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, their bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioners tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioners. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

