

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.89154 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-102 Year-2025 Thana- BAGHA District- West Champaran

Ravindra Ram S/o Ramesh Ram R/o Village - Sojhighat, Ward No. 20, Police
Station - Bagaha, District - West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Mithu Chaudhary S/o Late Kanhaiya Chaudhary R/o Village - Bankatwa,
Ward No. 21, P.S - Bagaha, District - West Champaran

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vijay Kr Singh No. 1
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Priya Sharan Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 04-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State .

2. Petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered
for the offence punishable under Sections 137(2), 96, 61(2),
64(1) and 3(5) of the B.N.S. 2023 and Section 4 of the POCSO
Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that he was informed by the villagers that his daughter
aged about 17 years was seen going with the petitioner carrying
the bag on 07.04.2025, accordingly, the informant informed his
family members when it transpired that an amount of Rs.



50,000/- along with jewellery worth Rs. 1 lakh were missing from the house. Accordingly, the informant along with villagers went to the house of the petitioner when petitioner's family members abused and threatened that they would be implicated into a false case under SC/St Act.

4. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant, it is next submitted that from perusal of allegation as alleged in the F.I.R., it would manifest that the petitioner and victim were in love and were eloped as Rs.50,000/ along with jewellery was missing from the house. It is also submitted that victim has come back and her statement was recorded under Section 183 B.N.S. wherein she has not supported the case of the prosecution rather has stated that she has performed her marriage with the petitioner, it is also submitted that in order to give seriousness to the case, it is alleged that the victim on the date of occurrence is 17 years of age when she was the major, it is also submitted that even presuming what has been alleged is true with regard to age in the F.I.R., without admitting, then also the victim has also attained the age.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State as well as learned



counsel for the informant oppose the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Let the petitioner, as named above, in the event of his arrest or surrender within four weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned District and Additional Sessions Judge-7th-cum-Special Judge, POCSO, West Champaran, Bettiah, in connection with Bagaha P.S. Case No. 102 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure/Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

sanjeev/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

