

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.504 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-145 Year-2022 Thana- GOGRI District- Khagaria

=====

Kunal Kumar @ Kunal Kumar Yadav S/o Sudha Yadav R/o Village- Goshai
Shishbanni, Paura, P.S- Gogri (Paura), Dist- Khagaria, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. X S/o Y R/o Village- Goshai Shishbanni, P.S- Gogri (Paura), Dist- Khagaria.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amar Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Lalan Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

2 15-01-2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Gogri (Paura) P.S. Case no. 145 of 2022 registered under sections 376(DA), 341, 323, 354(B), 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code and sections 4 and 6 of the POCSO Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant states that the accused persons including the petitioner herein misbehaved with his 16 years old minor daughter. They also made a video of the occurrence and made the same viral. On protest by the informant and others, it is stated that the informant was abused and threatened that he would be beaten up.



4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been falsely implicated. No such occurrence as alleged has taken place. It is further submitted that the case has proceeded in the trial Court. After framing of charge, evidence of both sides have been concluded and final written argument on behalf of the petitioner has also been submitted on 9.10.2025. The petitioner is in custody since 12.12.2022. Learned counsel submits that if the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail, a reasonable time be fixed for concluding the trial in the learned trial Court.

5. The application is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State.

6. It transpires from the order of the learned Court below that after conclusion of the examination of the witnesses on both the sides, argument on behalf of the defence has concluded and the case is fixed for argument of the prosecution. The order further observes that the trial is expected to conclude in the near future.

7. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the allegations against the petitioner in the F.I.R. together with the trial having proceeded and neared its end, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and the application is



rejected.

8. Learned trial Court is directed to conclude the trial within a period of four months from the date of receipt/communication of a copy of this order. It may be observed here that none of the observations made herein shall be taken into consideration by either of the sides in the trial pending in the learned trial Court.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Bibhash

U			
---	--	--	--

