

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.88530 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-90 Year-2025 Thana- MIRGANJ District- Purnia

Binod Choudhary Son of Late Basant Choudhary Resident of Vill.-
Gachhakatta, P.S.- Mirganj, District- Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amit Kumar Anand, Advocate

Ms. Bharti Kumari, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pranav Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY

ORAL ORDER

5 01-04-2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Mirganj P.S. Case no.90 of 2025, registered under sections 80, 61(2) and 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant states that his daughter who was married to the son of the petitioner herein was tortured by the accused persons including the petitioner for payment of dowry to the tune of Rs. 3 lacs. He ultimately received information on 24.5.2025 about his daughter having committed suicide.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner who happens to be the father-in-law of the deceased has been falsely implicated in the case. The allegation of demand of dowry and torture etc are all false and concocted.



The husband of the informant's daughter has moved the learned Court below for anticipatory bail which is still pending consideration. The petitioner, who is an old man, is in custody since 21.6.2025 and undertakes to cooperate in the investigation/trial. He has no criminal antecedent.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State, who submits that as per the postmortem report, the cause of death is said to be asphyxia and venous congestion resulting in antemortem ligature strangulation. Referring to the order of the learned trial Court rejecting the application for bail of the petitioner, it is submitted that the witnesses have supported the prosecution version that the deceased was tortured and all the accused including the petitioner used to make video calls of themselves beating the deceased.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the allegations against the petitioner in the FIR, the contents of the postmortem report and the material that has transpired in course of investigation, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and the application is rejected.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Shiv/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

