

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.50 of 2025**

**In**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1138 of 2021**

=====

Dr. Durga Prasad Singh S/o - Late Harivansh Narayan Singh Resident of  
Village and P.s. - Sonapur, District Saran presently residing at - Mairwa, P.S. -  
Mairwa, District- Siwan.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through the Principal Secretary, Department of Health,  
Bihar, Patna, namely Mr. Pratyaya Amrit.
2. Mr. Satish Ranjan Sinha, Joint Secretary Health Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. Dr. Alka Sinha Additional Director, Health Department, Bihar, Patna.
4. Mr. Mukul Kumar Gupta, district Magistrate Siwan.
5. Mr. Srinivas Prasad, Civil Surgeon Siwan.
6. Mr. Wasir Akhtar Incharge Medical Officer, Primary Health Centre, Guthni,  
Siwan.
7. Mr. Rajesh Prasad Yadav, District Treasury Officer, Siwan.
8. Mr. Vishal Bansal, Accountant General, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Prabhakar Singh, Adv.  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajay Behari Sinha, GA 8

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR**  
**ORAL JUDGMENT**

**Date : 19-06-2026**

Learned Advocates for the respective parties are present.

2. Mr. Prabhakar Singh, learned Advocate for the  
petitioner submits that despite the order having been passed by  
this Court to consider the representation of the petitioner for  
interest over the delayed payment which has occurred on  
account of laches on the part of the Opposite Parties, the same  
has not been considered in a rightful manner.



3. Mr. Ajay Behari Sinha, learned Government Advocate No. 8 submitted that the order under contempt has been complied in its letters and spirit. The Additional Secretary, Health Department has duly considered the claim of the petitioner for interest over the delayed payment. However, on being found no merit, the same has been rejected vide order as contained in Memo No. 601(2) dated 15/04/2025.

4. Having considered the submissions advanced by the learned advocates for the respective parties and taking note of the averments made in the show cause as well as the final order passed by the concerned respondent-opposite party, this Court does not find and reason or occasion to continue with the present proceeding. Accordingly, the present contempt petition stands closed.

5. If the petitioner is anyhow aggrieved with the order passed by the opposite party, he has remedy available under law.

**(Harish Kumar, J)**

Anjani/-

|                   |            |
|-------------------|------------|
| AFR/NAFR          |            |
| CAV DATE          |            |
| Uploading Date    | 19.06.2026 |
| Transmission Date |            |

