

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.704 of 2025

-
1. Manoj Kumar Son of Ashok Kumar Verma, Resident of Village - nya Tola, Lakhisarai, P.S. - Lakhisarai, District- Lakhisarai.
 2. Chhabila Yadav Son of Gobardhan Yadav Resident of Village - Giddha, Ward no. 5, P.S. - Halsi, District- Lakhisarai.
 3. Gautam Kumar Son of Sunil Singh Resident of Village - Salempur, P.S. Surajgarha, District- Lakhisarai.
 4. Jaikishor Kumar Son of Kamta Prasad Singh, Resident of Village - Salempur, P.S. - Surajgarha, District- Lakhisarai.
 5. Pramod Kumar Son of Brahamdev Yadav Resident of Village - Giddha, P.S. - Halsi, District- Lakhisarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Animal Husbandry Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director of Animal Husbandry Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Animal Husbandry Officer, Lakhisarai.
4. The District Veterinary Officer, Lakhisarai.
5. The Mobile Veterinary Officer, Lakhisarai.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Satya Vrat (AC to GP-10)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

3 23-06-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel for the State.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for the
following relief/s:-

*“I. For issuing a direction upon the
respondents authority to absorb the petitioners
in the Animal Husbandry Department, who
have experience of private animal vaccination*



worker since long period, all of the petitioners are serving their duties at the rate of Rs. 4/ to 9/- rupees per vaccination of Animal which is lowest payment to service.

II. For issuance of a direction upon the respondent authority to disposed the representation dated 10.05.2024 filed by the petitioners.

III. Any other relief / reliefs may be granted to the petitioners for which found entitled to.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the petitioners had earlier moved before this Hon'ble Court in C.W.J.C. No. 3866 of 2024 which was dismissed as not pressed *vide* order dated 04.04.2024 with liberty to the petitioners to approach the respondents authority for redressal of their grievances. Counsel submits that thereafter, the petitioners have filed representation in light of the liberty granted to them *vide* order dated 04.04.2024. But, on their representation, order has not been passed, then the petitioners have filed the present writ petition with the aforesaid prayers.

4. Learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, submits that in compliance of the order dated 04.04.2024 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 3866 of 2024, the petitioners' representation was considered and the same was rejected. Counsel further



submits that 90 other similarly situated persons have moved before this Hon'ble Court in C.W.J.C. No. 6303 of 2020 with the same relief and their representation has also been rejected with a reasoned order. He further submits that the work which the respondent authorities are taking is of vaccination and payment has been made per vaccination. It is not a continuous work. As and when the situation arise, the work on payment basis has to be taken. Counsel submits that the post of vaccination is not a sanctioned post and as a policy matter, there is no provision for absorption of persons whose services have been taken for vaccination purpose.

5. Since, it is a policy matter of the State, this Court upon considering the pleadings, is of the view that there is no policy with regard to regularization or absorption of the persons engaged in vaccination work who are paid on a per-vaccination basis. Therefore, this Court is not inclined to grant any relief to the petitioners.

6. Accordingly, this writ petition stands dismissed.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Divyansh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

