

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.88490 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-230 Year-2025 Thana- EKANGARSARAI District- Nalanda

Niranjan Kumar S/o Ramdyal Bind @ Ramsakal Bind R/o Village - Banke
Bigha, P.S - Ekangarsarai, District - Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shashi Chand Pandey, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nand Kishore Prasad, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 20-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State and perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Ekangarsarai P.S. Case No. 230 of 2025, instituted for the offences punishable under Sections 80(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that sister of the informant has been done to death by her husband and in-laws for non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submitted that the



petitioner is husband of the deceased. The allegation levelled against the petitioner is general and omnibus in nature. It is next submitted that the petitioner has never made any demand of dowry from the deceased. It is further submitted that the petitioner himself informed the informant that his sister has been admitted in the hospital. The petitioner is in custody since 21.09.2025 and has got no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner and submitted that there is specific allegation against the petitioner along with other co-accused persons of committing murder of informant's sister for non-fulfillment of demand of dowry. It is further submitted that as per post-mortem report, cause of death has been opined as asphyxia due to strangulation. Further the petitioner is husband of the deceased and, therefore, onus lies upon him to explain the cause of death. Hence, the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, nature of accusation and the gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. The prayer is rejected. The trial Court is directed to expedite the Trial. However, if the trial is not concluded within a



period of six months from the date of receipt/production, the petitioner will have liberty to renew his prayer for bail in the Court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

