

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.90162 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-368 Year-2024 Thana- CHANDI District- Nalanda

Karu Yadav Son of Baleshwar Yadav, Resident of Village- Thekha Par, P.S.-
Chandi, District- Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. XX, Wife of XY, R/o- Village - Thekaha Par, P.S. Chandi, District –
Nalanda.

... .. Opposite Parties

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Rana Baljit Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Ms. (Dr.) Indihar Kumari, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

4 23-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. In the present case, the petitioner seeks bail in connection with Chandi P.S. Case No. 368 of 2024 (POCSO Case No. 90 of 2024) dated 26.06.2024, registered for the offences punishable under Section 376 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 4 and 6 of the POCSO Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the allegation against the petitioner is of committing rape with deaf and dumb minor daughter of the informant.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. No occurrence in the manner as alleged has



ever taken place. The medical report does not support the allegation of rape. Even from the statement of the victim, it is not clear whether any rape was committed with her. Learned counsel next submits that petitioner is having clean antecedent and chargesheet has been submitted. Learned counsel lastly submits that petitioner is in custody since 05.07.2024.

5. Learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State opposes the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner and submits that petitioner has committed a very heinous crime as he committed rape with a deaf and dumb girl.

6. Having regards to the serious nature of allegation, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

7. Learned trial Court is directed to expedite and conclude the trial at the earliest considering the period of custody of the petitioner and the nature of allegation.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

Shahnawaz/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

