

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.89199 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-232 Year-2025 Thana- SAHEBPUR KAMAL District-
Begusarai

=====

Pintu Kumar, S/O Alha Yadav, R/O Village - Chamman Tola, P.S- Sahebpur
Kamal, Distt.- Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Khushi Awadh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Aditya Narayan Singh.1, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 22-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection
with S. Kamal P.S. Case No. 232 of 2025 registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 352, 351(1),
351(2), 109(1) and 3(5) of B.N.S. and Section 27 of the Arms
Act.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the
petitioner along with others being armed with country made
pistol arrived and started abusing. It is specifically alleged that
Alha Yadav and Ankit Kumar fired at the husband of the
informant. The fire did not hit him rather passed near ear. After
that Prince Kumar fired with his country made pistol which hit



in the left leg of the informant's husband due to which he fell down. When Raushan came, the accused persons started firing at him. At least 25 rounds of firing were made. After this, the villagers came and saved the informant and her family members.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. From perusal of the F.I.R. itself it is clear that the petitioner is only a member of mob. There is no specific allegation of firing against him. Learned trial court could not appreciate that there is no specific allegation against the petitioner and nature of allegation is general and omnibus. Petitioner is having no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 22.08.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the application for bail. Learned counsel for the informant has brought to notice the order of the learned trial Court wherein learned trial Court has recorded that as per the F.I.R., the allegation against the petitioner is that he along with other co-accused persons opened fire on the informant's husband and son



and that approximately 25 rounds were fired during the occurrence. Learned counsel for the informant has also submitted that this firing has been done only with a view to pressurize the informant to withdraw the previous case.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Sub-Judge-IV-cum-Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-IV, Begusarai in connection with S. Kamal P.S. Case No. 232 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

