

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91897 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-49 Year-2025 Thana- SONHAN District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

Priyanka Kumari S/o Ashok @ Ashok Saini Resident of Village- Baskira Road, P.S.- Narnaul, District- Mahendragarh (Haryana)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. Rajesh Ram Son of Damri Ram R/O Vill.- Sonhan, District- Kaimur

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Amaresh Kumar Jha, Advocate  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Nand Kishore Prasad, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      30-01-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under sections 87, 142 and 143 of the BNS, 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the minor daughter of the informant was missing from the house. It is alleged that the victim was on talking terms on Mobile No. xxxxx88626 and the informant believes that the holder of this mobile number has kidnapped her.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in connection with the present case. It is further submitted that



during the course of investigation, the victim was recovered and she has given her statement recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS wherein she has stated that as her mother scolded her, she went out of her house and she was going to jump from a train then one Sunny rescued her and took her to her sister-in-law's in her house at Patna. She remained there for two days and after that she was taken to Haryana by the sister-in-law of Sunny and she was married to one co-accused, namely, Mohan. It is further submitted that name of the petitioner has surfaced on the basis of the confessional statement of co-accused persons. It is further submitted that co-accused persons, namely, Mohan and Pradeep Kumar have been granted bail by a Bench of this Court vide order dated 16.09.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 45523 of 2025 and its analogous cases. It is further submitted that another co-accused, namely, Sarita Devi @ Sarita Kumari has also extended the privilege of bail vide order dated 10.09.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 41161 of 2025 by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court. Moreover, the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 15.05.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the state has opposed the prayer of regular bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances



of the case, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be released on bail in connection with Sonhan P.S. Case No. 49 of 2025 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Special Judge, POCSO Act, Kaimur (Bhabhua).

**(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)**

Jagdish/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

