

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.87751 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-319 Year-2025 Thana- BIHIA District- Bhojpur

1. Hareram Yadav @ Hareram Singh S/o Lalu Yadav @ Lalu Prasad R/o vill - Barhar Tola, P.S.- Jagdishpur, Distt.- Bhojpur
2. Vishendra Yadav @ Vishendra Kumar S/o Vijay Yadav @ Vijay Singh R/o vill - Barhar Tola, P.S.- Jagdishpur, Distt.- Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Prabhat Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : **Mr.Md. Aslam Ansari**

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 21-01-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 303(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that he had gone to sell his cow, when accused, Hareram, came to purchase the cow and asked for the price in which the informant disclosed the price of the cow as Rs. 75,000/-, but Hareram wanted to purchase the cow for Rs. 50,000/-, further when the informant was going back home after selling the cow he was intercepted by Hareram, Vishendar, Vikash and other



accused persons and they assaulted him and Hareram snatched Rs. 1,20,000/- from the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that the allegation is partly true. It is further submitted that the informant had come to sell his cow and when Hareram (petitioner No. 1) approached him for purchasing the cow, the deal was finalised and an amount of Rs. 50,000/- was given to the informant as final price of the cow, further petitioner no. 1 requested the informant to keep the cow with himself as he intended to purchase some other articles also, but when he came back, the petitioner no. 1 came to know that informant had already sold the cow to someone else for a higher consideration, which led to a dispute and an altercation took place in which both sides assaulted each other, but then it is submitted that allegation of snatching Rs. 1,20,000/- from the informant has been alleged only to give seriousness to the case. It is also submitted that petitioners are not criminals rather are agriculturists. It is next submitted that petitioners will not abscond rather will co-operate with the police during the investigation so that the truth comes out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for



anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Bihiya P.S. Case No. 319 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

7. However, it is made clear that in the event, if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned trial court bringing to its notice that the petitioners, despite giving assurance to this Court, are not cooperating in the investigation, in that event, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioners.

8. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned police station through the learned Trial Court.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

