

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.90442 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-546 Year-2025 Thana- FORBESGANJ District- Araria

=====

Md. Abdul S/o Md. Manjur @ Manjur Resident of - Ward No 03, Uttar
Rampur, p.s- Forbesganj, District – Araria

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Majid Mahboob Khan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Dr. Indihar Kumari, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 31-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Forbesganj P.S. Case No.546 of 2025 registered for the offence
under sections 8(c) and 21(b) of the N.D.P.S. Act.

3. The recovery is of 137 grams brown sugar.
The petitioner and other co-accused are said to have involved in
the sale and smuggling of brown sugar.

4. The petitioner is in custody since
25.10.2025. He claims clean antecedent.

5. It has been submitted by learned counsel for
the petitioner that the alleged recovery of brown sugar is less
than the commercial quantity.

6. Learned APP for the State has opposed the



prayer of the petitioner for grant of bail.

7. Considering the quantum of recovery of brown sugar, the petitioner having clean antecedent and his period of custody, this bail application is allowed.

8. Let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Principal District & Sessions Judge-cum- Special Judge, NDPS Act, Araria / concerned Court below, in connection with Forbesganj P.S. Case No.546 of 2025.

9. This is also subject to the condition that the petitioner, after being released on bail, is directed to mark his attendance at Forbesganj Police Station, District- Araria on every Sunday of the month. Any default in appearance at the police station will result in cancellation of bail bonds of the petitioner.

10. As the petitioner claims to have clean antecedent, the Court below, before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner, shall verify the antecedent of the petitioner. The bail bonds of the petitioner shall only be accepted by the court below if it is found that he has clean antecedent.

11. It is clarified that this Court has not



expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the observations made by this Court is only for the purpose of deciding this bail application.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

pawan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

