

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.87592 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-252 Year-2024 Thana- PANDAUL District- Madhubani

Saurav Kumar @ Saurav Yadav @ Saurav Kumar Yadav S/o Manoj Yadav @
Manoj Kumar Yadav R/o Village - Mohanpur Sripur Hati, P.S - Pandaul,
District - Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ravi Prakash, Adv
Mr. Gayandev Yadav, Adv

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Dr.Mrityunjaya Kr.Gautam

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 11-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. This is the second attempt on behalf of the
petitioner for grant of bail in connection with Pandaul P.S.Case
No.252 of 2024 registered for the offence punishable under
Section 309(6), 310(2), 61(2), 311 of B.N.S and section 27 of
the Arms Act.

3. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner has
been rejected vide order dated 23.04.2025 passed in Cr. Misc.
No.21485 which reads as under:-

*“ Heard the learned counsel
for the parties.*

*2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in
connection with Pandaul P.S.Case No. 252
of 2024 registered for the offence under*



Sections 309(6) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita 2023 was added.

3. Four miscreants riding on two motorcycles stopped the motorcycle of the informant and snatched his bag containing Rs. 40,000/-. They pushed down the informant and shot at his left thigh. Miscreants took away motorcycle, bag and mobile phone of the informant.

4. The petitioner is in custody since 16.11.2024 and claims clean antecedent. The looted motorcycle and the mobile phone have been recovered farom the possession of the petitioner.

5. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petition.

6. Accordingly, this application for regular bail is hereby rejected. “

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the charge has been framed and out of 10 witnesses 3 witnesses have been examined.

5. Considering the gravity of the offence and the fact that the trail has started, I am not inclined to review my earlier order.

6. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

N.K/-

U			
---	--	--	--

