

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.87715 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-211 Year-2023 Thana- ROHTAS District- Rohtas

-
1. Rajendra Pal S/O Late Jimdar Pal R/O Village- Pakariya, P.S- Akorhigola, Distt.- Rohtas.
 2. Vimla Devi W/O Rajendra Pal R/O Village- Pakariya, P.S- Akorhigola, Distt.- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Renu Kumari

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 21-01-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 304(B)/34 of the Indian Penal Code.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that his sister was married to Vijay in the year 2014, after marriage, the accused started demanding dowry of Rs.1.5 lacs and for non-fulfillment of the demand, the victim was tortured as such his sister instituted a case against her husband and others, but the case was compromised and the victim started living with her husband, further on 04.08.2023, the informant received an



information that his sister was assaulted by the accused persons, thus he came to the place of occurrence and got his sister treated at Dehri and brought her back to her parental house for further treatment on advise of the doctor, where his sister disclosed that accused assaulted her for non-fulfillment of dowry demand, further his sister died on 15.08.2023 during course of treatment.

4. Learned counsel submits that petitioners being father-in-law and mother-in-law have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that informant alleges that his sister was married to Vijay in the year 2014 and there was a dispute in between his sister and Vijay for which a criminal case was instituted but then the case was compromised. It is also submitted that informant himself alleges that he came to know that the victim was assaulted as such he went to the place of occurrence and brought her back to her parental house but then no case came to be instituted in between 04.08.2023 to 15.08.2023. It is submitted that if what is being alleged by the informant had been a true fact, in that event, the informant or the victim would have instituted an FIR. It is also submitted that the victim died at her parental home and the petitioners came to be implicated with an allegation that it was on account of assault inflicted on the victim on 04.08.2023, which led



to her death on 15.08.2023 at her parental home but as recorded hereinabove, no case came to be instituted prior to the death of the victim. It is further submitted that petitioners will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove their innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Rohtas P.S. Case No.211/2023, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

