

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.87525 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-36 Year-2025 Thana- BHUTAH I District- Sitamarhi

=====

Rahul Kumar Son of Rajendra Singh R/o Village - Jabdi Loharpatti, Ward no.
01, P.S. - Parihar, Dist. - Sitamarhi. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Krishna Prabhat, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 12-01-2026 Heard Mr. Krishna Prabhat, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, learned APP for the

State.

2. Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Bhutahi P.S. Case No. 36 of 2025 registered for the offences
punishable under sections 309(4) and 317(2) of the Bharatiya
Nyaya Sanhita.

3. The main submissions advanced by petitioner's
counsel are that the petitioner was arrested in Bela P.S. Case No.
148/2025 and thereafter, he was remanded in three more cases
and so far as the merit of the allegation to the present matter is
concerned, there is nothing incriminating evidence to show the
petitioner's involvement in the alleged crime of loot and after the
petitioner was taken into custody, the police failed to recover any
looted article from the possession of the petitioner and he was
not put on Test Identification Parade before the informant or



other important witnesses for identification process and one co-accused, namely, Raghubeer Kumar, who has also been made accused in the present matter in similar manner, has been granted bail by the trial court itself.

4. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer of the petitioner but has not been able to refute the aforesaid submissions made by petitioner’s counsel, however, he has submitted that before the trial court the petitioner suppressed the details of his criminal antecedents which was mainly considered by the trial court while rejecting his bail prayer.

5. Considering the above submissions, averments made in this application and mainly taking into account the petitioner’s aforesaid plea that there is nothing admissible evidence against him which has not been refuted by the learned APP, this Court is inclined to release him on bail. Accordingly, let the petitioner named-above be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court concerned in connection with Bhutahi P.S. Case No. 36 of 2025.

(Shailendra Singh, J)

annu/-

U			
---	--	--	--

