

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.87624 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-12 Year-2025 Thana- BARHARA KOTHI District- Purnia

Satish Chandra jha @ Babloo Jha S/O Late Narayan Jha Resident of Vill.-
Sukhasena ward no. 8, P.S.- Barhara, Dist.- Purnea.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Gita Nath Jha S/O Sri Shivendra Jha Resident of Vill.- Sukhasena, P.S.-
Barhara, Dist.- Purnea.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amit Kumar Anand
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Narendra Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 25-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 137(2) of the BNS, 2023.
Later on, Sections 376(2)(F) and 506 of the Indian Penal Code
and Sections 4 and 6 of the POCSO Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is in custody
since 28.10.2025 and the informant alleges that her minor
daughter aged about 17 years went missing on 18.01.2025 at 2
A.M., it is next alleged that her daughter opened the door of the
house and disappeared with son of an unknown person,



thereafter a search was made but then the victim could not be located and the victim while leaving had not taken her clothes and other belongings with her.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is not named in the FIR and his name transpired after the victim was recovered and her statement was recorded under Section 183 BNSS, wherein she has stated that when she was in Std-10, the petitioner was her tutor and he established physical relation with her, as such being fed up with the conduct of the petitioner, she left her house. It is next submitted that even presuming what has been alleged is true without admitting then the statement of the victim was recorded in the year 2025, when she alleges that in the year 2024, such occurrence was committed by the petitioner but then no case ever came to be instituted.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that petitioner is teacher of the victim and the victim in her statement recorded under Section 183 BNSS as submitted by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has alleged that he committed wrong with her. It is thus submitted that in the nature of material which transpired in the statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 BNSS, it



is not a fit case for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP, the Court is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail.

7. The regular bail application of the petitioner is rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

