

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.88047 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-14 Year-2016 Thana- PUPRI District- Sitamarhi

Vikash Mandal S/o Triveni Mandal Resident of Village- Sasaula @ Bhima
Sasaula @ Bhima Makleshwar, P.S.- Pupri @ Pupari, Dist.- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Santosh Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nirmal Kumar Sinha, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

4 24-02-2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Sessions Trial no.335 of 2025, arising out of Pupri P.S. Case no.14 of 2016 registered under section 304B of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant states that the accused persons including the petitioner herein who happens to be the husband of her daughter tortured her daughter for non-fulfillment of demand of dowry by way of a motorcycle and ultimately she was burnt to death.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case. The allegations levelled in the FIR are false and concocted. The father-in-law and mother-in-law of the deceased were acquitted



by the learned trial Court. Referring to the deposition of the informant and father of the deceased in course of trial, it is submitted that he did not support the prosecution case against the accused persons nor against this petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 8.4.2025 and undertakes to cooperate in the trial.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the allegations against the petitioner in the FIR, the petitioner being the husband of the deceased, the deceased having been burnt to death as a result of non-fulfillment of demand of dowry by way of a motorcycle and in a case of the year 2016, the petitioner having absconded for 9 years till he was taken into custody in the year 2025, the Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and the application is rejected.

7. Learned trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Saurabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

