

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.89859 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-71 Year-2024 Thana- SINDHIYA District- Samastipur

Lalo Kumar @ Lalo Mahto S/o Turant Lal Mahto @ Trunt Lal Mahto @
Turant Mahto R/o vill - Khor, P.s.- Hasanpur, Distt.- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jata Shankar Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Damodar Prasad Tiwary, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 17-01-2026 Heard Mr. Jata Shankar Jha, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Damodar Prasad Tiwary, learned APP for the

State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection
with Singhia P.S. Case No. 71 of 2024 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 25(1-AA), 25(1-C), 25(1-A), 25(1-B)
(a), 26(1), 26(2) and 35 of the Arms Act.

3. The case of the prosecution in short is that from the
house of co-accused namely, Jagarnath Sharma, a country made
pistol, two half made country-made pistol, one barrel, three iron
drill machine, three structure of country-made pistol, one trigger
guard, one angle grinder, cartridges were recovered.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has



committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the FIR, the role of this petitioner has been attributed to the confessional statement of the co-accused that the weapons were sold to him. The petitioner was not indulged in manufacturing. He also submits that the co-accused, namely, Jagarnath Sharma, from whose house the recovery was made, and Amarnath Sharma, Raso Devi and Baijnath Sharma have already been granted bail by the learned coordinator of this Court in Cr. Misc. Nos. 45291 of 2024, 45479 of 2024, and 4977 of 2025, respectively. The case of this petitioner stands on similar footing. He further submits that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 04.08.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State and submits that the petitioner is having criminal antecedent of three cases.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail **with the condition that the petitioner shall cooperate in the stage of trial and shall remain physically present on each and every date fixed by the learned trial court till the conclusion of the trial.** The



above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on
furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only)
with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of
the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Rosera in connection
with Singhia P.S. Case No. 71 of 2024.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

