

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.564 of 2025

=====

Rajkaran Ram son of Late Dev Nandan Ram, resident of Village-Sitadih, P.S.-
Lesliganj, District-Palamu.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Home,
Old Secretariat, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Inspector General of Police, Patna Division, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Shahabad Range, Dehri-on-Sone,
Bihar, Patna.
5. The Superintendent of Police, Rohtas, Dehri-on-Sone, District-Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shashikant, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Rajan Prakash, AC to GA (02)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

3 18-06-2026 Learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State are present.

IA No. 1 of 2026

2. Learned counsel submits that during pendency of
the present writ petition, the sole petitioner died leaving behind
his heirs and legal representatives whose details are mentioned
in the paragraph 3 of the present I.A. As such, he submits that
the name of the petitioner may be substituted and at his place,
the name of his heirs and legal representatives may be inserted.

3. Learned counsel for the State has no objection in
allowing the same.



4. As such, the present I.A. No.1 of 2026 is hereby allowed.

5. Office is directed to substitute the name of the petitioner and at his place, insert the name of his heirs and legal representatives, which is mentioned in the paragraph 3 of the present I.A. Office is also directed to insert the name of his heirs and legal representatives in the title page also.

CWJC No. 564 of 2025

7. Learned counsel submits that the present writ petition has been filed with limited prayer commanding the respondent no. 2 to decide the memorandum of appeal filed by the original petitioner through online mode on which, up till now, the appeal number has been given and no action has been taken according to him.

8. Counsel for State, on the other hand, submits that a counter affidavit has been filed in which the specific pleading of respondent no. 2 on the memorandum of appeal has been disposed of and the order vide Memo No.28, dated 28.02.2025 is annexed as Annexure- 'O'.

9. In this background, it transpires to this Court that the grievance for which the present writ petition was filed, has already been fulfilled. Hence, the present writ petition is hereby



disposed of as infructuous.

10. It is made clear to the Office that the publication of order shall be made only after complying the direction given by this Court in the present I.A.

11. However, liberty is hereby granted to the substituted petitioners that they may take legal recourse against the order passed in the Memorial petition, if so advised.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Prakashmani/-

U			
---	--	--	--

