

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.88237 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-77 Year-2025 Thana- Kawaiya District- Lakhisarai

=====

Ajit Ram Son of Late Batoran Ram R/o Village - Jaynagar, Badi Kabaiya,
Ward No.- 32, P.S.- Kabaiya, District - Lakhisarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rabi Bhushan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bishweshwar Ram, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 15-01-2026 Heard Mr. Rabi Bhushan, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Bishweshwar Ram, learned Additional Public

Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
10.11.2025 in connection with Kabaiya P.S. Case No. 77 of
2025, F.I.R. dated 10.03.2025 for the offences punishable under
Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 117(2), 352, 3(5) of the B.N.S.,
2023.

3. According to prosecution case, petitioner and other
co-accused persons are alleged to have demanded extortion and
assaulted the informant. Petitioner assaulted the informant by
means of iron rod upon his head due to which his head was
injured. They also assaulted him on his face by means of danda
due to which his right jaw got fractured.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. The allegation as alleged is false and fabricated and the petitioner has never demanded any extortion from the informant, although the allegation in the F.I.R. against the petitioner is that he had assaulted the informant by means of iron rod and the informant has received the injury. He further submits that due to some petty dispute, the present occurrence took place and there was no intention to kill the informant. The petitioner is in custody since 10.11.2025.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, petitioner has clean antecedent, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Lakhisarai in connection with Kabaiya P.S. Case No. 77 of 2025, with the following conditions:

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and



on her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ranjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

