

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.88770 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-64 Year-2025 Thana- PIPRIYA District- Lakhisarai

1. Bajrangi Singh @ Khakhoran S/O Late Jagdish Singh R/O Village- Ramchandrapur, P.S- Pipariya, Distt.- Lakhisarai.
2. Shankar Singh @ Shankar Sharma S/O Late Suryanarayan Singh R/O Village- Ramchandrapur, P.S- Pipariya, Distt.- Lakhisarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rabi Bhushan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Ataur Rahman, A.P.P.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      15-01-2026      Heard learned Counsel for the petitioners and learned  
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. This application, for grant of anticipatory bail, arises out of Pipariya Police Station Case No. 64 of 2025, disclosing offences under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 117(2), 109(1), 303(2), 351(2) and 3(5) of B.N.S., 2023.

3. The prosecution case, as per the First Information Report, is that petitioner no. 1 assaulted the informant's husband and others with lathi and petitioner no. 2 along with co-accused Ramchandra Singh assaulted Triveni Singh and Sudhir Singh with iron rod and due to which their hand and leg got fractured.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that



from perusal of the FIR and Annexure-2, it would be evident that there is land dispute between both the parties. From perusal of the injury, discuss in the impugned order, it appears that husband of the informant has not received any grievous injury. However, the injury caused to Triveni singh which is attributable to petitioner no. 2, it has been mentioned in the impugned order that injury sustained by him is grievous in nature. The occurrence has taken place due to admitted land dispute.

5. Having regard to the submissions made by the parties and taking into consideration the fact that there is admitted land dispute and the injury sustained by the informant's husband does not appear to be grievous in nature, I am inclined to grant the petitioner no. 1 privilege of anticipatory bail.

6. In so far as petitioner no. 2 is concerned, from perusal of the impugned order, it appears that he has caused grievous injury to the victim Triveni Singh, I am not inclined to grant the petitioner no. 2 privilege of anticipatory bail and the same is rejected.

7. However, if the petitioner no. 2 surrenders before the concerned Court and seeks regular bail, the same may be



considered by the concerned Court on its own merit without being prejudiced that anticipatory bail of the petitioner no. 2 has been rejected by this Court.

8. This application is, accordingly, allowed for petitioner no. 1 only.

9. Let the petitioner no. 1, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the Court below within four weeks, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Lakhisarai, in connection with Pipariya Police Station Case No. 64 of 2025, subject to the condition laid down under Section 482 (2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

Siwani/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

