

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.90161 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-214 Year-2015 Thana- PATRAKARNAGAR District- Patna

Vishal Kumar @ Vishal Kumar Paswan S/o Late Ram Ekbal Paswan R/o
Village- Baruna, P.S.- Naubatpur, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Manas Rajdeep, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rajendra Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 13-01-2026 Heard the parties.

2. Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with Patrakarnagar P.S. Case No. 214 of 2015 registered for the offences punishable under Section 307 of IPC and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the prosecution story, on 12.07.2015 at about 10:10 p.m., the informant, Manoj Kumar, after closing his restaurant, was wearing a helmet and was about to ride his motorcycle when the petitioner, who was working as a waiter at the informant's restaurant, allegedly took out a pistol from his waist and fired a shot which struck the informant on his neck.

4. The main submissions advanced by the petitioner's counsel are that the alleged firing caused only a simple injury to the informant, the petitioner has been languishing in jail since 19.07.2025 though, the FIR was lodged in the year 2015 but the



petitioner could not appear before the trial court as he had gone outside for the purpose of his livelihood and after knowing the case, he voluntarily surrendered before the trial court.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the seriousness of the allegation appearing against this petitioner from the FIR and as per the allegation, he fired at the informant causing firearm injury on the vital part of the body of the informant, the petitioner remained absconding for several years after the registration of the FIR and he is also accused in another case registered under Sections 302/34 of the IPC, in my opinion, it is not a fit case for grant of bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, his prayer stands rejected.

7. The petitioner may renew his prayer for bail after the examination of material non-official witnesses of the prosecution.

(Shailendra Singh, J)

maynaz/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

