

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.1142 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-180 Year-2024 Thana- R S P.S. District- Araria

Md. Mojib S/o Md. Yakub R/o Village- Janki Nagar, Barshagram, P.S- Janki Nagar, Dist- Purnea.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shyam Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 09-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The defect(s) as pointed out by the Stamp Reporter
are ignored.

3. This is the second attempt on behalf of the
petitioner for grant of bail in connection with R.S. P.S. Case
No.180 of 2024, registered for the offence under Sections
309(4) of B.N.S., 2023.

4. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner has
been rejected vide order dated 13.05.2025 passed in Cr. Misc.
No.12387 of 2025, which reads as under:-

“Heard learned counsel for the petitioner
and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection



with R.S.P.S. Case No. 180 of 2024 registered for the offence under Sections 309(4) of the BNS.

3. As per the prosecution case, loot was committed with the victim by unknown criminals.

4. During investigation, the petitioner has given his self-inculpatory statement and upon his statement, one country made pistol and some looted articles was recovered from the co-accused. The petitioner is in custody since 6.12.2024 and he is accused in six other cases of similar and serious nature.

5. Considering the aforesaid facts, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this application is dismissed.”

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the charges has been framed but no witness has been examined till date.

6. Considering the gravity of the offence and the fact that the charges has been framed, I am not inclined to review my earlier order.

7. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

8. The Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

9. The Superintendent of Police, Araria is directed to ensure the appearance of the witnesses in the trial, so that the



trial is not delayed. So far as the contention of the petitioner is that the petitioner is ill and he is given liberty to file an appropriate application in the trial for his treatment and better medical facility.

10. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the Principal District Judge, Araria and the Superintendent of Police, Araria forthwith through FAX or E-mail for its compliance.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

mdrashid/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

