

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91559 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-733 Year-2024 Thana- MOTIHARI TOWN District- East
Champaran

=====

Ravi Singh @ Ravi Kumar Singh Son of Rajbanshi Singh R/o Village -
Mathurapur, P.S. - Turkuliya, Dist. - East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vikash Kumar Pankaj, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shantanu Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

5 30-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned

counsel for the informant and learned Additional Public

Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Motihari Town P.S. Case No. 733/2024 registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 318(4), 336(3), 338, 340(2),
329(3) and 3(5) of B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, petitioner is accused of
selling the land which was purchased by the mother of the
informant by a registered sale deed.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the case. It is next
submitted that petitioner has all the rights to sell the land



belonging to the informant and his family as the informant's family has sold more than their share. Learned counsel further submits that the title suit is pending and since the informant has sold more than his share, the petitioner has got every right to sell the land which is standing in the name of the mother of the petitioner. Petitioner has four criminal antecedents and he is in custody since 09.10.2025. The petitioner undertakes to co-operate in the case/ trial.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner

6. Considering that no submission has been made as to how much land has been sold by the informant or his family members, *prima facie* I am of the view that considering the pendency of the civil dispute, the petitioner should not have sold the land which has been purchased in the name of the petitioner's mother, hence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this application stands dismissed.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

tusharika/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

