

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.87147 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-52 Year-2025 Thana- Marnga District- Purnia

1. Raza Kumar Singh @ Raza Singh Son of Domi Singh @ Dilip Singh R/o Village - Sarsi, P.S. - Sarsi, District - Purnea.
2. Anand Kumar @ Anant Kumar Son of Sudesh Singh R/o Village - Sarsi, P.S. - Sarsi, District - Purnea.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Akhauri Kamal Kishore Sahay, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mithlesh Kumar Khare, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 18-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 111, 109 of the B.N.S., 2023 & Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner no.1 has antecedent of three cases under the Excise Act and petitioner no.2 has antecedent of one case and the informant alleges that his son Sakim along with Haider dealt in sale and purchase of land, further they got executed an agreement for sale in their favour with regard to 2 acres of land, it is next alleged that on 17.02.2025, Sakim and Haider had gone on the land in quesstion, when informant got an information that 40-50 people have gathered near the land, accordingly, he came to the place of



occurrence and heard gunshot and further on hearing gunshot, all 40-50 persons started fleeing and informant saw that his son was shot in the mouth and Haider was also injured. It is next alleged that informant identified 18 named accused persons, who were fleeing from the place of occurrence, including the petitioners, thereafter, the injured were taken to hospital for treatment.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. It is next submitted that from perusal of the FIR, it would manifest that informant is not an eyewitness to the occurrence. It is also submitted that since petitioners were also present at the place of occurrence along with other persons as such on hearing gunshot, they started fleeing. It is further submitted that neither the petitioners have any interest in the land nor the petitioners knew the son of the informant. It is also submitted that it is not the case of the informant that he saw the petitioners resorting to firing leading to the injury. It is further submitted that similarly situated co-accused Amarnath Kumar Singh @ Babul Singh had approached this Court seeking anticipatory bail by filing Cr. Misc. No.58274 of 2025 and the same came to be allowed by an order dated 04.09.2025. It is next submitted that petitioners will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove their innocence.



5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Maranga P.S. Case No.52/2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) B.N.S.S.

7. It is made clear that in the event if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned trial court bringing to its notice that the petitioners despite giving assurance to this court are not co-operating in the investigation in that event the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioners.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

