

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.86865 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-220 Year-2024 Thana- PURAINI District- Madhepura

Rajeev Kumar Son of Dilip Ram R/o Village - Puraini Bazar, Ward no. 12,
P.S. - Puraini, Dist. - Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Uday Chand Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Mohammed Arif, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

5 30-03-2026 Heard Mr.Uday Chand Prasad, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr.Mohammed Arif, learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
20.11.2024 in connection with Puraini P.S.Case No. 220 of
2024, F.I.R. dated 20.11.2024 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 25(1-B)a,26/35 of Arms Act.

3.Allegation against the petitioner is the he has been
arrested with arms and ammunition on spot by the police.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner
submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the
present case. He further submits that it appears from the FIR as
well as seizure list that one country made pistol and one live
cartridge were recovered from possession of the petitioner and
one mobile was recovered from possession of co-accused



person, namely, Nd. Dawood and the police, after investigation, submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 20.11.2024.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State, on the other hand, has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner has confessed his guilt in the present occurrence, apart from that, the recovery has been made from possession of the petitioner and the petitioner carries five more cases other than the present one but fairly submits that out of five cases, the petitioner is on bail in three cases and rest two cases are pending for consideration before the competent court of law, as mentioned in para-3 of the bail petition.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts as well as the period of custody, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned ACJM-I, Udakishunganj, Madhepura in connection with Puraini P.S.Case No. 220 of 2024, with the following conditions:-

(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient



reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

