

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.88160 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-50 Year-2025 Thana- SHIVAJINAGAR District- Samastipur

1. Dinesh Yadav S/o Late Bhikho Yadav Resident of - Gamharia, P.O - Rahiar Konchi, P.S - Shivajinagar, District - Samastipur, Pin - 848209, Bihar
2. Sitaram Yadav S/o Late Gulli Yadav Resident of - Gamharia, P.O - Rahiar Konchi, P.S - Shivajinagar, District - Samastipur, Pin - 848209, Bihar
3. Santun Yadav @ Santu Yadav S/o Sitaram Yadav Resident of - Gamharia, P.O - Rahiar Konchi, P.S - Shivajinagar, District - Samastipur, Pin - 848209, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vishal Vikram Rana, Advocate

Ms. Nisha Bharti, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Brajendra Nath Pandey, APP

For the O.P. No. 2 : Mr. Ajay Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA

ORAL ORDER

2 15-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned

APP for the State and learned counsel for the opposite party

no.2.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection

with Shivaji Nagar P.S. Case no.50 of 2025 registered under

sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2) and

3(5) of B.N.S, 2023.

3. Allegations in the F.I.R is that accused persons

including the petitioners herein came variously armed and

indulged in assaulting the informant and his uncle causing



injuries to them.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners and the informant are the agnates. There is admitted land dispute between the parties, for which Title Suit Nos. 101 of 2024 and 367 of 2023 is also pending between them. It has further been submitted that the present case is the counter blast of Shivaji Nagar P.S Case No. 51 of 2025. Learned counsel referring to the rejection order of the learned Court concerned submits that injury of the informant and his uncle are simple in nature caused by hard and blunt object. The petitioners undertake to co-operate in investigation/trial.

5. The application for anticipatory bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant on the ground that there are allegations of assault upon petitioner no.1 while petitioner nos. 2 and 3 are the order given. It has also been submitted that petitioner no.3 has one criminal antecedent. In response, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is on bail in the said case.

6. Taking into consideration that fact that the present case arises out of land dispute between the agnates and injuries sustained by the informant are simple in nature caused by hard and blunt object, it is directed that the petitioners, above named,



in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks, be released on anticipatory bail in connection with Shivaji Nagar P.S. Case no.50 of 2025 on each of them furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court concerned where the case is pending/successor Court, subject to the condition laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure/Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S, 2023 and subject to the further condition that they shall co-operate in the investigation and in case of their non-cooperation, the informant would be at liberty to file cancellation of bail.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

Harsh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

