

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.87139 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-291 Year-2025 Thana- SONBERSA District- Sitamarhi

Saroj Kumar Varun Son of Ram Ekbal Thakur Resident of village - Parsa Khurd, Ps- Kanhauli, Dist- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. N.K. Agarwal, Sr. Advocate Mr. Pushpendra Kumar Singh, Advocate Ms. Divya Bharti, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 05-01-2026 Heard Mr. N.K. Agarwal, learned senior counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Sonebarsa P.S. Case No. 291 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 338, 336(2), 340(2), 318(4) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution in short is that from the shop of this petitioner, altogether 30 PAN Cards and other articles were recovered.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this



case. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though there is an allegation against the petitioner that he was fraudulently making PAN cards, there is a denial on the part of the petitioner. It has also been submitted that from perusal of the seizure list, it will transpire that the witnesses are not independent witnesses. He further submits that there is no allegation of misusing the documents or of cheating anybody from those documents. It has also been submitted that the learned trial court has rejected the bail petition of the petitioner only after quoting a few judgments of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. He also submits that it is clear that 30 PAN cards have been recovered from the shop of the petitioner, but from perusal of the FIR, it is clear that while making the seizure, the police have not complied with Section 105 of the BNSS to rule out any plantation, and only the recovery of these PAN cards does not make out a case of forging or cheating anybody. Moreover, a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 23.10.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and



considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sitamarhi in connection with Sonebarsa P.S. Case No. 291 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

