

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.4857 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-350 Year-2024 Thana- GHOSI District- Jehanabad

CCL XX

... .. Appellant/s
Versus
The State of Bihar
... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :
For the Appellant/s : Mr. Santosh Kumar, Advocate.
Ms. Shalini, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Bal Mukund Prasad Sinha, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

7 08-05-2026 Section 74 of Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection)

Act, 2015 prohibits disclosure of identity of children. Hence,

Hon’ble Supreme Court in the case of **Shilpa Mittal Vs. State
(NCT of Delhi) and Anr.** as reported in **(2020) 2 SCC 787**, has

held as follows:

“37. In passing we may note that in the
impugned judgment the name of the child in conflict with
law, has been disclosed. This is not in accordance with the
provisions of Section 74 of the 2015 Act, and various
judgments of the courts. We direct the High Court to
correct the judgment and remove the name of the child in
conflict with law.”

(Emphasis supplied)

3. Accordingly, it is required for the office not to
disclose the name and identity, including the address, of the
Child in conflict with law either in the title of the matter or in
the cause list, order or judgment.

4. Hence, learned Registrar General is directed to



place a copy of this proceeding before Hon'ble the Chief Justice for considering the issuance of necessary administrative order to the registry of this Court in this regard.

5. Now, coming to this appeal, it transpires that this Appeal has been preferred against the impugned order dated 10.03.2025 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge-I-cum-Children's Court, Jehanabad, in Criminal Appeal No. 26 of 2024 arising out of order dated 30.9.2024 passed by learned Juvenile Justice Board, Jehanabad, in ER 559(J) in connection with Ghosi (Okri) P.S. Case No. 350 of 2024 whereby the Appeal was dismissed, upholding the order of learned Juvenile Justice Board.

6. Learned counsel for the Appellant submits that as a matter of fact, the Appellant should have filed Criminal Revision, as per Section 102 of Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection) Act, 2015 because the appellant herein has already exhausted the remedy of appeal by filing it before the Children Court.

7. Hence, learned counsel for the Appellant is seeking permission to convert the present Criminal Appeal into Criminal Revision under Section 102 of Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection) Act, 2015



8. Permission is granted.

9. Office is directed to convert the present Appeal into Criminal Revision under Section 102 of Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection) Act, 2015 upon steps to be taken by the Appellant and, after conversion, list the matter before appropriate Bench with approval of Hon’ble the Chief Justice

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

S.Ali/-

U			
---	--	--	--

