

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.86276 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-164 Year-2025 Thana- KOPA District- Saran

=====

Akhilesh Ray S/o Baliram Ray R/o Village- Milki Janaki Nagar, P.S- Kopa,
Dist- Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nalin Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anuj Kumar Shrivastava, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 21-01-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Kopa P.S. Case No. 164 of 2025 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 352 and
3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of one case and the informant alleges
that on account of dispute relating to construction of *Palani*, the
accused persons came and petitioner assaulted him by *dab*
causing injury on head, while Raju assaulted by knife causing
injury on back and chest and the accused persons also assaulted



his wife who came to save him.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant, it is next submitted that on account of dispute relating to land, an altercation had taken place in which both sides assaulted each other. It is also submitted that from side of the petitioner Kopa P.S. Case No. 163 of 2025 has been instituted, as such, the instant FIR is a counterblast.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the anticipatory bail application and submits that no doubt on account of dispute relating to land, the occurrence is alleged to have taken place, but then it is alleged that the informant was assaulted by knife causing injury on back and chest. It is further submitted that knife is a dangerous weapon and could have been fatal, had the knife penetrated the chest. It is also submitted that since accused persons were present at the place of occurrence, hence accused felt emboldened in committing the occurrence of assault by knife. It is also submitted that in the event if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner, the petitioner may abscond, as he has antecedent even.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the



privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

Rishabh/-

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

