

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.87214 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-189 Year-2025 Thana- CHAKIA District- East Champaran

1. Mintu Yadav @ Mitnu Kumar Yadav S/O Shambhu Ray R/O Village- Harpurnag, P.S- Mehshi, Distt.- East Champaran.
2. Pankaj Kumar S/O Dhorai Sah R/O Village- Harpurnag, P.S- Mehshi, Distt.- East Champaran.
3. Jitendar Kumar @ Jogari @ Jitendar Chaudhary @ Jitendar S/O Lalan Chaudhary R/O Village- Harpurnag, P.S- Mehshi, Distt.- East Champaran.
4. Nitesh Kumar Sharma S/O Navin Kumar Sharma R/O Village- Harpurnag, P.S- Mehshi, Distt.- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Suraj Kumar Tiwari, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rabindra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 18-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned APP for the State, Mr. Rabindra Kumar.
2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 126(2), 115(2), 132, 125, 109, 121(1), 352 and 351(2) of B.N.S., 2023.
3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that petitioner nos. 1 and 3 have antecedent of one case and petitioner nos. 2 and 4 are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that a woman died on



account of an accident committed by an unknown car, as such, accused persons started creating ruckus and jammed the N.H., accordingly, the police force reached when the accused persons assaulted the S.I. who was injured but the situation was brought under control, further the accused persons including the petitioners were identified by Chowkidar and local representative from the video footage.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that no doubt the petitioners came to be identified based on video footage but then the petitioners live adjacent to the place of occurrence and when ruckus was being created by the villagers, the petitioners out of inquisitiveness came to the place of occurrence and thus were videographed. It is next submitted that it is not the case of the prosecution that petitioners in the video footage were found assaulting the S.I.

5. The learned APP for the State opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, let petitioners, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks



from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Chakia P.S. Case No. 189 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application is allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

