

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.86761 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-73 Year-2025 Thana- CHANAN District- Lakhisarai

1. Anil Yadav Son of Basudeo Yadav Resident of Village - Maliya, P.S.- Chanan, District - Lakhisarai.
2. Sakindar Yadav Son of Basudeo Yadav Resident of Village - Maliya, P.S.- Chanan, District - Lakhisarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Md Shadab Alam Wazdi, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Pushpa Sinha.1, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 08-01-2026 Heard Md Shadab Alam Wazdi, learned counsel for the petitioners and Ms. Pushpa Sinha.1, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Chanan P.S. Case No. 73 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 17.06.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 191(2), 117(2), 329(4), 352, 351(2), 303(2), 109 of the Bharatiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, the informant alleged that on 16.06.2025 at about 08:00 PM the petitioners along with other co-accused persons came to his house and started abusing and assaulted the informant by means of iron rod. It is further alleged that one of the accused persons assaulted informant's wife



and snatched gold mangalsutra from her neck.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have clean antecedent and they have falsely been implicated in the present case. Although the petitioners are named in the FIR but from bare perusal of the FIR it appears that due to admitted land dispute the present occurrence has taken place and petitioners and the informant are agnates to each other. Although there is specific allegation against the petitioners that they have assaulted the informant but the injury report of the informant suggest that injury inflicted upon him is simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and the fact that the petitioners have clean antecedent and injury inflicted upon the informant is simple in nature, let the petitioners, above named, in the event of arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.C.J.M., 1st Lakhisarai in connection with Chanan P.S. Case No. 73 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of



Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

i. Petitioners shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on their absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, their bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioners tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioners. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

