

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.86919 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-79 Year-2025 Thana- RUPO District- Nawada

Gayama Yadav @ Ajay S/o Late Arjun Yadav Resident of Village- Benipur,
P.S.- Rupau, District- Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sheo Kumar Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Aditya Narayan Singh.1, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 04-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2),
115(2), 117(2), 109 and 352 of the BNS, 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of one case and the informant alleges
that buffaloes of petitioner were grazing the field of the
informant, on objection, petitioner assaulted him by *Khanti*
causing injury on head and thereafter repeated the blow causing
injury on right elbow, further again repeated the blow causing
injury on left elbow and also assaulted Anandi Singh who came



to save him and fled when people gathered.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that on a trivial issue of grazing of the field by buffalo of the petitioner, the occurrence is alleged to have taken place.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the anticipatory bail application and submits that no doubt on a trivial issue, the occurrence is alleged to have taken place, but then from perusal of the allegations as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that petitioner repeatedly assaulted the informant and even assaulted Anandi who came to save him, on which the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that in the FIR, it is alleged that petitioner assaulted the informant thrice, but then from the order impugned, it would manifest that informant suffered only two injuries, as such, the allegation stands belied, on which the learned APP submits that FIR is not an encyclopedia and allegation is of assaulting the informant repeatedly and also on vital part of the body i.e. head.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the



privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

