

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.86234 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-97 Year-2025 Thana- RIGA District- Sitamarhi

Sunil Kumar Ranjan @ Bittu Kumar S/O Bhikau Ram Resident of village-  
Panchoar, Riga, P.S.- Riga, District- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ayush Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Naresh Ray, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

4      10-03-2026                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and  
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case  
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2),  
115(2), 118(1), 109, 117(2), 303(2), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the  
BNS, 2023.

3. In compliance of the order dated 19.02.2026, the  
Investigating Officer of the case is present in the Court.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that  
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant  
alleges that while he was returning home, he was intercepted by  
the accused persons including the petitioner, further the  
petitioner said that on 26.02.2025 he has abused his father hence  
he will kill him and thereafter assaulted him by *gandasa* causing



injury on head, thereafter other accused persons assaulted him by rod, lathi etc. while Shail Devi assaulted Ravi by rod causing injury on hand, further petitioner caught his hand while Shiv Shankar and Guddu caught both his hands and Vikash started strangulating him and Shail Devi took his chain and Guddu snatched Rs. 10,000/-.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant, it is next submitted that even presuming what has been alleged is true without admitting then allegation against the petitioner is of assaulting the injured by *gandasa* causing injury on head, but then it is submitted that the injury suffered by the injured has been opined to be simple in nature and the wound is lacerated. It is also submitted that there is a dispute in between the parties relating to land, as such, an altercation had taken place in which both sides assaulted each other and from side of the petitioner, Riga P.S. Case No. 82 of 2025 came to be instituted, as such, the instant FIR is a counterblast.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner, but then fairly submits, based on instruction of the Investigating Officer, that the injury suffered by the injured has been opined to be simple



and the wound is lacerated.

7. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and taking into consideration the fact that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the injury has been opined to be simple, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Riga P.S. Case No. 97 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of BNSS.

**8. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application is allowed.**

9. The personal appearance of the Investigating Officer is dispensed with.

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

Rishabh/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

