

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20107 of 2025

-
1. Chandan Kumar Son of Sri Shatrudhan Prasad, Resident of village- Alopur, P.S.- Gurua, Distt. - Gaya Jee (Bihar).
 2. Bharat Kumar, Son of Sri Ganauri Ravidas, Resident of Village- Akaunadih, P.S.- Akauna, Distt. - Nawada (Bihar).
 3. Rajesh Sharma, Son of Sri Kedar Mistri, Resident of village- Baltharwa, P.S.- Barke Bazar, Distt.- Gaya Jee (Bihar).
 4. Shivam Kumar, Son of Sri Harendra Mahto, Resident of Village- Chhrra Patti, P.S. -Kishanganj, Distt. - Madhepura (Bihar).
 5. Aditya Kumar, Son of Sri Mantoo Mandal, Resident of Shyamal Das Lane, P.S.- Jagdishpur, Distt. - Bhagalpur (Bihar).
 6. Attul Kumar, Son of Sri Ramesh Kumar, Resident of Village- Sabuni, P.S.- Ramnagar, Distt.- West Champaran (Bettiah) (Bihar).
 7. Dilraj Kumar, Son of Sri Manoj Kumar, Resident of Village- Kewal, P.S.- Gidhaur, Distt.- Jamui (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Sate of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Agriculture Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Directorate of Land Conservation Department of Agriculture, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ranjeet Tiwary, Advocate Mr. Jitendra Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Standing Counsel (16)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

2 19-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel for the respondents.

2. The petitioners have filed the instant application for
the following relief(s) :-

*“1. (i) For direction be issued to the respondents
to invite application amongst the suitable*



candidates and make selection/appointment on 39 posts of Junior Engineer under Directorate of Land Conservation in Agriculture Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.

(ii) For any other relief/reliefs as your Lordships may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

3. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, in the opinion of the Court, no such direction as prayed for by the petitioner can be issued by the Court in view of the judgments of the Hon’ble Supreme Court in the case of **State of Haryana vs. Subash Chander Marwaha & Ors.; (1974) 3 SCC 220** and in the case of **Shankarsan Dash vs. Union of India; (1991) 3 SCC 47**.

4. The Court finds no merit in the instant writ application and the same is dismissed.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

Shiv/-

U			
---	--	--	--

