

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.89032 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-184 Year-2025 Thana- MAKHDUMPUR District- Jehanabad

Pintoo Kumar, S/o Mithlesh Yadav, R/o Village- Murla Matha, P.S.-
Makhhdumpur (Tehta) District- Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. X W/o Y R/o Village- Murla Matha, P.S.- Makhhdumpur, District-
Jehanabad

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Ms. Ragini Kumari, Advocate Mr. Sameer Darshan, Advocate
For the State	:	Ms. Sangeeta Sharma, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Abhishek Anand, Advocate Mr. Umesh Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

4 19-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Special POCSO case no.60 of 2025, arising out of Makhdumpur (Tehta) P.S. Case no.184 of 2025 registered under section 96 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant states that her minor daughter was forcibly kidnapped by the accused persons including the petitioner herein on the point of firearm.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case. The daughter



of the informant returned and her statement was recorded under section 183 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 wherein she has not supported the prosecution case of her being kidnapped. In fact, she has stated that she went out of her own free will to her *mausi's* place. Further in her medical report her age has been assessed to be between 17 and 18 years. The petitioner is in custody since 26.5.2025 and charge-sheet has been submitted in the case.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant. Learned counsel for the informant submits that the statement of the *mausi* of the minor victim was recorded in course of investigation wherein she has denied that the victim had gone to her place. In fact, the petitioner kidnapped her once again subsequently.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the allegations against the petitioner in the F.I.R. together with the contents of her statement recorded under section 183 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 and the medical report wherein her age has been assessed to be between 17 and 18 years as also the petitioner having remained in custody for over 9 months since 26.5.2025 and



charge-sheet having been submitted in the case, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail in connection with Special POCSO case no.60 of 2025 [arising out of Makhdumpur (Tehta) P.S. Case no.184 of 2025], on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned A.D.J. VIth-cum-Special Judge POCSO, Jehanabad.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

avinash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

