

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.86923 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-52 Year-2019 Thana- MAHILA PS District- Darbhanga

Sanjay Kumar Jha S/o Ram Udar Jha Resident of Village- Machaiya, P.S.-
Sakatpur, District- Darbhanga

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Kumari Aarti W/o Sanjay Kumar Jha, D/o. Anil Choudhary Resident of
Nadiyami, P.O.- Kurshi, Nadiyami, P.S.- Sakatpur, District- Darbhanga, PIN
Code- 847405

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajeev Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 18-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned APP for the State, Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner was earlier granted the privilege of anticipatory bail
with a condition that if the petitioner does not credit amount of
maintenance as agreed for two consecutive months, in that event
the O.P. No. 2 shall be at liberty to file an application seeking
cancellation of the anticipatory bail granted to the petitioner.

3. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the
petitioner that the maintenance, as agreed, was not paid by the
petitioner, as such, an application was moved by the O.P. No. 2



seeking cancellation of the anticipatory bail granted to the petitioner, based on which the anticipatory bail granted to the petitioner was cancelled, thereafter a modification application was filed bringing to the notice of the Court that petitioner and O.P. No. 2 have performed their separate marriage, as such, the order cancelling the anticipatory bail be recalled, but then the said modification application was disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to file a second anticipatory bail application in view of the changed circumstance.

4. It is thus submitted that in view of the liberty granted to the petitioner and in view of the changed circumstance, the instant second anticipatory bail application has been filed.

5. The learned APP for the State, Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad vehemently opposes the second anticipatory bail application and submits that from perusal of the party position, it would manifest that O.P. No. 2 is wife of Sanjay Kumar Jha, but then when modification application was filed, at that time a plea was taken that O.P. No. 2 has performed her separate marriage, as such, it appears that an attempt has been made to mislead the Court.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned



APP for the State, the Court is not inclined to entertain the second anticipatory bail application of the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the instant second anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

