

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.87217 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-110 Year-2025 Thana- ALAMNAGAR District- Madhepura

1. Sabuja Devi, Female, Aged About 65 years, W/o Bahadur Mistri
  2. Bahadur Mistri @ Bahadur Sharma, Male, Aged about 70 years, S/o Late Anik Mistri
  3. Reena Devi @ Reen Devi, Female, Aged About 39 year, W/o Indal Mistri
  4. Juli Devi, Female, Aged About 35 years, W/o Govind Mistri
  5. Reena Devi @ Reen Devi, Female, Aged About 41 years, W/O Pawan Mistri
  6. Fulan Devi, Female, Aged About 45 years, W/O Sharvan Mistri
  7. Suraj Kumar, Male, Aged about 18 years, S/O Pawan Mistri @ Paswan Mistri
  8. Guarav Kumar @ Gaurav Kumar Sharma @ Gaurav Mistri, Male, Aged about 26 years, S/O Sharvan Mistri @ Pawan Mistri
  9. Krishna Kumar, Male, Aged about 18 years, S/O Pawan Mistri @ Govind Sharma @ Govind Mistri
- All R/O Village-Ward no 15, Alamnagar, P.S-Alamnagar, District-Madhepura, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bhaskar Anand, Advocate  
For the Opposite Party/s: Mr. Jagdhar Prasad, A.P.P.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      16-01-2026                      Heard Mr. Bhaskar Anand, learned counsel  
  
                                                 appearing on behalf of the petitioners and Mr. Jagdhar Prasad,  
  
                                                 learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in connection with Alamnagar P.S. Case No. 110/2025 registered for the offence(s) punishable under Section 191(2), 329(4), 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 109, 76, 30, 3(2), 352 and 351(2) of the



BNS.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, the petitioners along with other co-accused persons had entered into house of the informant and had assaulted her and her other family members causing injury and had also took away Rs. 80,000/- cash from the informant.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submitted that now the matter has been compromised between the petitioners and the informant. Petitioners have clean antecedent. On these grounds, petitioners seek to be released on pre-arrest bail.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Having considered the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well, having perused the allegation made in the FIR. The conduct of the informant is not very convincing, as she has entered into compromise with the petitioners and after informant having entered into the compromise, observation can be made with regard to the law laid down by the Apex Court in case of *Naushey Ali Vs. State*



*of U.P.*, reported in *(2025) 4 SCC 78*.

7. Learned District Court is directed to verify the compromise petition (Annexure-P/3 to the bail petition) and if it is found to be genuine, the petitioners, above named, are directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned District Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Udakishunganj/ Concerned Court in connection with Alamnagar P.S. Case No. 110/2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

8. The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and if it is found that the petitioners are involved in some other cases, as what has been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail application, this order will automatically lose its force.

**(Purnendu Singh, J)**

Niraj/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

