

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.4477 of 2024

In
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17926 of 2024

Aradhana Giri, Daughter of Surendra Kumar Giri, Wife of Anuj Pal Giri
Goswami, Resident of Mohalla - Jawahar Colony, Road No. 3, Postal Park,
P.S. Kankarbag, Patna District- Patna- 800001

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patna, namely, Rajeev Mishra (IPS).
2. Anuj Pal Goswami @ Anuj Pal Giri Goswami, Son of Gajraj Giri Goswami @ Gajraj Goswami, Resident of Flat No. 102 GH 4, Mirabagh, P.S. Paschim Vihar, District - Delhi, New Delhi, presently residing at 308, Pathik Vihar, C- Block, Noida Sector 62, Uttar Pradesh - 201301, Permanent Resident of Village Nagda Bavdi ke Pas, Post Office - Nawania, Police Station - Vallabh Nagar, District - Udiapur (Rajasthan) - 313801

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anuj Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. GP-7

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

11 05-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned GP-4 for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the opposite party no. 2.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the instant contempt application has been filed for initiating a proceeding of contempt against the opposite party no. 2 for violation of the order dated 08.04.2024 in Cr. Misc. No. 17926 of 2024. It is further submitted that during pendency of the instant contempt application, the dispute in between the parties has been



resolved and the parties have obtained divorce by mutual consent. It is next submitted that opposite party no. 2 herein, who was husband of the petitioner, has already withdrawn all the pending cases against the petitioner.

3. At this stage, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the opposite party no. 2 submits that the cases filed by the petitioner against the opposite party no. 2 is pending adjudication before this Court in Cr. Misc. No. 21821 of 2024 and Cr. Misc. No. 21378 of 2024 i.e. quashing application.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, at this stage, submits that he has instruction to make submission that petitioner will not oppose the aforesaid quashing application filed on behalf of the petitioner as the parties have already compromised all the cases.

5. Since the parties have compromised and the order dated 08.04.2024 in Cr. Misc. No. 17926 of 2024 has been complied, as such, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the contempt application pending.

6. The contempt application is, thus, dismissed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

