

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.86153 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-618 Year-2025 Thana- RAMKRISHNANAGAR District- Patna

1. Anmol Kumar Son of Radhe Shyam Singh Resident of Dhelwa, P.S.- Ram Krishna Nagar, District- Patna
2. Niket Kumar @ Aniket Kumar @ Niket Son of Radhe Shyam Singh Resident of Dhelwa, P.S.- Ram Krishna Nagar, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arun Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Shakir Ahmad

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 19-01-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 109(1), 352, 351(2), 303(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner no. 1 is a person with clean antecedent and petitioner no. 2 has antecedent of one case and the informant alleges that his father was 6 brothers and after partition they were living separately, but in order to take illegal possession of one of the house in which all the brothers had their share, the accused,



Shivshankar, Mohit, Aniket and Anmol started demolishing the house, on objection the accused threatened and in the night of 16-7-2025, the aforesaid four accused along with two unknown accused came and Shivshankar gave orders to kill on which Mohit fired, but the shot misfired, thereafter Aniket and Anmol with iron rod repeatedly assaulted him causing injury on head and mouth while Mohit snatched his chain and two unknown accused took away cash of Rs. 5,000/-

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegations as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that petitioners and the informant are related and are having dispute relating to property. It is further submitted that informant is a drunkard and he might have fallen leading to injury. It is also submitted that CCTV cameras are installed in the parking area where the occurrence is alleged to have been taken place, but then the police for reasons best known did not investigate the CCTV cameras or else the truth would have come to the fore. It is next submitted that from perusal of the injury report, it would manifest that the same records - abrasion of size 2x1 cm over left temporal region of skull and lacerated wound of size 0.5 cm



x 0.5 cm over lower lip. It is further submitted that even presuming what has been alleged is true without admitting then the allegation of assault is also not specific and petitioners are students. It is submitted that no doubt that petitioner no. 2 has a criminal antecedent but then the said case also came to be instituted on account of dispute relating to property for which the instant FIR has been instituted.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Ram Krishna Nagar P.S. Case No. 618 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

