

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.86097 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-178 Year-2025 Thana- NOWKOTHI GARHPURA District-
Begusarai

-
1. Raushan Chaurasia @ Raushan Kumar @ Raushan Chaurasiya Son of Ramjugut Chaurasiya @ Tana Chaurasia @ Rana Chaurasia Resident of Village -Dafarpur (Purvi Dafarpur, PS- Nawkothi (Naokothi) District -Begusarai
 2. Sajan kumar son of Lutan Pandit @ Latun Pandit @ Lantun Pandit Resident of Village -Dafarpur (Purvi Dafarpur, PS- Nawkothi (Naokothi) District -Begusarai
 3. Suraj Kumar @ Miksa son of Kailash Sharma Resident of Village -Dafarpur (Purvi Dafarpur, PS- Nawkothi (Naokothi) District -Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sandip Kumar Gautam, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Choubey Jawahar, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 10-03-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 96 and
137(2) of the BNS, 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that
petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that Suraj Kumar @ Miksa (petitioner no. 3) along with
other accused persons including the petitioner no. 1 and 2
kidnapped his daughter on 14.08.2025, at 07:00 p.m. Further,
alleges that the daughter of the informant had taken ornaments



and Rs.50,000/- with her.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that the date of occurrence is 14.08.2025 and the FIR came to be instituted on 17.08.2024 i.e. after a delay of three days without any plausible explanation. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that the informant alleges that the victim took alongwith herself ornaments and Rs.50,000/- which amply demonstrates that the victim on her own volition left her house. It is also submitted that the victim came back and her statement was recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS wherein she has stated that she was called by Khusi Kumari to her house where Raushan Chaurasia (petitioner no. 1) and Sajan Kumar (petitioner no. 2) were present from before and they forcibly made her sit on a motorcycle and took her to Pahsara Crossing and left her where Suraj Kumar (petitioner no. 3) was present and thereafter Suraj Kumar made her board a train for Delhi and when she deboarded at Delhi she was caught by the T.T. on which Suraj Kumar called her brother-in-law, namely, Balmiki who came and thereafter freed the victim and brought her to his home and thereafter informed her parents that the victim is



staying with him based on which her parents and brother came to Delhi where it is alleged that Balmiki assaulted her brother and took money from them which he had spent in freeing the victim from the T.T. and thereafter the victim returned. Learned counsel further submits that the statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS does not even remotely suggest that she was exploited sexually. It is next submitted that under parental pressure the statement has been made but as far as petitioner no. 1 and 2 are concerned, against them it is alleged that they made her sit on a motorcycle and left her at Pahsara Crossing from where she accompanied Suraj Kumar to Delhi. It is also submitted that petitioners will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove their innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, let the petitioners, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/Successor Court in



connection with Naokothi (Nawkothe) P.S. Case No. 178 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C./482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

