

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.87156 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-8 Year-2024 Thana- AKBARNAGAR District- Bhagalpur

Rohi Kumar @ Gohiya S/o Lakhan Rishidev @ Lakhan Rsidev R/o Village-
Harinagar, P.S.- Akbarnagar, Dist.- Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. xxxx S/o Late Jyotish Manjhi R/o Village- Gangania (Fatehpur), P.S.-
Sultanganj, Dist.- Bhagalpur

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajive Ranjan Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jagdhar Prasad, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

5 15-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Akbarnagar P.S. Case No. 8 of 2024 instituted for the offences under Sections 302, 201, 34 of the Indian Penal Code and the charge-sheet has been submitted under Sections 364, 376 of the IPC and Section 6 of the POCSO Act.

3. Earlier, vide order dated 29.10.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 54495 of 2024, regular bail of the petitioner was rejected by this Court considering the nature and gravity of offence as also materials available against the petitioner in the case diary.



4. In compliance of the order dated 09.01.2026 a report dated 17.01.2026 with regard to the present stage of trial has been received. From perusal of the aforesaid report, it appears that the case is at the stage of evidence and there are seven charge-sheeted witnesses but none of them have been examined.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 06.02.2024 without any rhymes or reason.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for grant of bail.

7. There is no fresh ground to consider the bail petition of the petitioner.

8. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is again rejected with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously preferably within a period of five months from today. If the trial is not concluded within the period of five months, as stated above, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer before the court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

