

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20875 of 2025

Surendra Rai Son of Late Harinandan Rai @ Harnandan Ray, Resident of
Village- Amba Ojha Tola, P.S. and Block and Anchal-Piprahi, District-
Sheohar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Panchayati Raj, Department,
Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Sheohar.
4. The District Panchayati Raj Officer, Sheohar.
5. The Sub-divisional Officer, Sheohar, District - Sheohar.
6. Deputy Development Commissioner, Sheohar.
7. The Block Development Officer, Piprahi, District-Sheohar.
8. The Circle Officer, Circle-Piprahi, District-Sheohar.
9. Rajesh Kumar, Son of Ramjinis Rai Resident of Village- Amba Ojha Tola,
P.S. and Block and Anchal- Piprahi, District-Sheohar
10. Rajiv Kumar, Son of Ramjinish Rai Resident of Village- Amba Ojha Tola,
P.S. and Block and Anchal- Piprahi, District-Sheohar

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Devendra Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Ms. Divya Verma, AC to AAG 3

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 12-01-2026 Heard Mr. Devendra Kumar, learned counsel
appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Ms. Divya Verma,
learned AC to AAG 3 for the State.

2. Petitioner has *inter alia* prayed for following reliefs
in the paragraphs No.1 of the writ petition:-



“(I) For issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus for direction to respondent authorities to ban construction of Panchayat Bhawan bearing khata No.1460, Khesra No.5162 and Khesra No.5195 land executed by private respondent nos.9 and 10 on 16/04/2025 in the name of Governor State of Bihar whereby and whereunder the approval has been granted by the respondent authorities for construction of Panchayat Bhawan appertaining to village-Amba Ojha Tola, P.S. + Block + Anchal- Piprahi, District-Sheohar as it is not property in the name of private respondent nos.9 and 10 namely Rajesh Kumar and Rajiv Kumar though it is a disputed land for which a partition suit no.258 of 2009 is going on and pending before the learned Sub-Judge, 1st, Sheohar.

(ii) For direction to the respondent authorities to dispose of the representation of the petitioner with reasoned and speaking order within time framed.

(iii) For any other relief/reliefs for which petitioner is entitled.”

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner seeks to file a detailed representation before the District Magistrate, Sheohar for the relief, as prayed for in the writ petition.

4. Considering the aforesaid specific submission made on behalf of petitioner, the District Magistrate, Sheohar is directed to consider the representation of the petitioner, if so filed, in accordance with law and will also take into consideration that a title suit is pending between the petitioner



and private respondents no.9 and 10.

5. The writ petition is disposed of.

6. Interlocutory Application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Sanjay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

