

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.87478 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-247 Year-2025 Thana- RAJGIR District- Nalanda

=====

Guddu Rajbanshi Son of Saryug Rajbanshi Resident of Mohalla - Near
Anumandal Sidepur Rajgir, P.S.- Rajgir, District - Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anil Kumar No. I, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mohammed Arif, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 15-01-2026 Heard Mr. Anil Kumar No. I, learned counsel for

the petitioner as well as Mr. Mohammed Arif, learned

Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
23.05.2025 in Sessions Trial No. 710 of 2025 arising out of
Rajgir P.S. Case No. 247 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 12.05.2025 for
the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 190, 126(2),
115(2), 132, 109(1) of the Bharatiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023 and
charge-sheet has also been submitted under Section 191(2), 190,
126(2), 115(2), 132, 109(1), 61(2), 303(2), 62 of the Bharatiya
Nyay Sanhita, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, the informant who
was on duty of Raiwlay Property as Railway guard on
12.05.2025, got information that 5-6 suspected persons were
seen behind TRD office. On this, when he reached near the



place of occurrence, the accused persons assaulted him by means of rod and due to which he received injury and later admitted to Sadar Hospital, Rajgir for treatment.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. The petitioner is not named in the FIR and his name transpired on the basis of secret information and thereafter the petitioner confessed his guilt and except the confessional statement of petitioner, no other cogent material has come during investigation which suggest the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge-sheet and the petitioner is in custody since 23.05.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner has confessed his guilt before the police and apart from that the petitioner carries one criminal antecedent other than the present one.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional



Session Judge-XI, Biharsharif, Nalanda in connection with
Rajgir P.S. Case No. 247 of 2025, subject to the following
conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

