

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.86703 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-34 Year-2006 Thana- SIRDALA District- Nawada

Surendra Rajbanshi S/O Amirak Rajbanshi Resident Of Village- Kadwara,
P.S.- Sirdalla, District- Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sheo Nandan Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 09-01-2026 Heard Mr. Sheo Nandan Prasad, learned counsel for
the Petitioner and Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, learned APP for
the State.

2. Petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Sirdalla P.S. Case No. 34 of 2006 dated 27.05.2006 registered
for the offence punishable under Section 304(B) read with
Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution story, on the night of 25th
May 2006, the victim, Guddi Devi, was set on fire by the
petitioner and her in-laws at her matrimonial home in
connection with a demand for dowry. It is further alleged that
prior to the incident, the victim was subjected to cruelty and
harassment on account of the non-fulfillment of the dowry
demand.

4. The main submissions advanced by the petitioner's



counsel are that the petitioner is the husband of the deceased, bears no criminal antecedent, has been languishing in jail since 01.06.2025, the deceased sustained burn injuries while cooking food and consequently died, and the petitioner had gone outside for the purpose of his livelihood, due to which he could not have appeared before the trial court.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner is the main accused, the deceased died due to burn injuries, and the petitioner has remained absconding for twenty years.

6. Considering the seriousness of the allegations appearing against this petitioner, which relate to the unnatural death of the petitioner's wife by sustaining 95% burn injuries, coupled with the fact that the death of the victim occurred within seven years of her marriage, and mainly the petitioner's conduct in remaining absconding for twenty years after the registration of the FIR, this Court is not inclined to release him on bail, accordingly, his prayer stands rejected.

(Shailendra Singh, J)

maynaz/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

