

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.85619 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-290 Year-2025 Thana- DARBHANGA SADAR District-
Darbhanga

=====

Ramesh Thakur Son of Late Ramchandra Thakur Resident of Village -Kharua
Police Station- Sadar District -Darbhanga

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nilendu Kumar Choudhary, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mohammed Arif, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 02-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of
the informant.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2),
190, 126(2), 115(2), 109, 329(4), 303(2), 351, 74 and 352 of the
BNS.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that six named accused persons including the petitioner
came on 06.09.2025, at 08:00 p.m., and assaulted him by fighter
causing injury on his head and eye and also assaulted the
brother and nephew of the informant and acted inappropriately
with women member and snatched the chain.



4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant i.e. Sonu Kumar. It is further submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that informant alleges that he was assaulted by the accused persons but then the allegation of assault is not specific. It is next submitted that even the injury suffered by the injured has been opined to be simple in nature. It is also submitted that petitioner is not a criminal.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant submits that informant in his re-statement before the police has specifically stated that it was this petitioner who assaulted him causing injury on head but then fairly submits that the injury has been opined to be simple in nature on which learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that informant by way of afterthought subsequently took the name of the petitioner alleging that it was petitioner who assaulted when in the FIR the allegation of assault is general and omnibus in nature.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned



counsel for the petitioner, let the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Darbhanga Sadar P.S. Case No. 290 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C./482(2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

