

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.87391 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-38 Year-2025 Thana- MAHILA P.S BAGHA District- West
Champaran

=====

Sima Devi Son of Ranjit Kumar Ram Resident of Village - Gola Tola,
Madhubani, Police Station - Dhanaha, District - West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. X Son of Late Rambahadur Thakur R/o Village - Madhubani, P.S. -
Dhanaha, Dist. - West Champaran

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Perna Anand, Adv

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nawal Kishore Prasad, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 23-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends her arrest in connection
with Bagaha Mahila P.S. Case No. 38 of 2025, registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 65(1), 351(2)
of B.N.S. and Section 4 of POCSO Act.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that on
04.08.2025 at about 11:00 am, informant asked his daughter
regarding mobile phone, which was with her, then his daughter
told that Subhash Harijan had given her this mobile phone. On
checking it, the SIM was issued in the name of Subhash Harijan.



When the informant went to Subhash Harijan's house and questioned him, he started abusing and threatened the informant that he has made an obscene video of informant's daughter. The informant returned his house and asked his daughter then she stated that Subhash Harijan's daughter-in-law, Seema Devi, used to seduce me and get me sexually exploited by her father-in-law Subhash Harijan and used to make videos of it. Father-in-law Subhash Harijan and daughter-in-law Seema Devi both used to force me to have sex repeatedly by threatening me. She did not tell anything to anyone in her house due to the fear of the video going viral.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. There is no prior criminal history against the petitioner. This case has been fabricated solely on the basis of a fictitious story that is completely unnatural and stems from a prior animosity.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer of anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the seriousness of the allegation levelled against the petitioner of providing assistance in sexual abuse, this Court is not inclined to grant privilege of



anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the present anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

kiran/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

