

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.85358 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-215 Year-2025 Thana- RAGHOPUR District- Vaishali

Chandeshwar Ray @ Chaneshwar Ray @ Chandeshar Ray S/O late Jhuni Ray
@ Jhunilal Ray @ Jhulani Ray @ Jhunu Ray R/O village- Mohanpur, P.S.-
Raghopur, District- Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mrs. Bela Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nityanand, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 06-01-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the state.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Raghopur P.S. Case No. 215 of 2025, dated 05.08.2025 instituted
for the offences under Sections 80, 3(5) of the B.N.S. and Section
3,4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. As per the informant's case, it is alleged that her
daughter was married to one Anand Kumar and certain articles
were given as dowry. She was informed that her daughter was
killed by her in-laws and other family members. She was informed
that the dead body of her daughter was kept at N.M.C.H. and when
she reached N.M.C.H., the petitioner tried to flee. However, he
was apprehended by the Chowkidar.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case and in fact the petitioner had taken the deceased (daughter-in-law) to the hospital when her health started deteriorating. It was the petitioner, who had taken the body of the deceased to the post-mortem house and such reference can be found from perusal of the post-mortem report and, therefore, had there been any intention of the petitioner, he would have fled away from the place of occurrence. It has next been submitted that the allegation of torture and demand of dowry was false and the marriage had taken place almost around eight years ago and the deceased and the son of the petitioner was living happy conjugal life. It has lastly been submitted that the petitioner is father-in-law and is about 79 years old and carries clean antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid submissions, the petitioner above named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-XV, Vaishali at Hajipur in connection with Raghopur P.S. Case No. 215 of 2025, subject to the following conditions:-

(i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the



petitioner;

(ii) the petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the Court below, if so required by the learned Trial Court;

(iii) in case of absence on two consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bonds of the petitioner will liable to be cancelled by the Court concerned;

(iv) the Court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bonds of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of the same on in the name of verification.

7. It is made clear that the observations, if any, made in this order, shall be of no bearing during the trial.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

pravinkumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

