

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.85338 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-102 Year-2024 Thana- NAUBATPUR District- Patna

Subham Kumar Son of Rajesh Kumar @ Pampam R/o Village - Naubatpur
Field, P.S. - Naubatpur, District -Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Samrendra Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nawal Kishore Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 25-03-2026 Heard Mr. Samrendra Kumar Jha, learned counsel
for the petitioner and Mr. Nawal Kishore Prasad, learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
07.01.2025 in connection with Naubatpur P.S. Case No. 102 of
2024, F.I.R. dated 12.02.2024 for the offences punishable under
Sections 341, 307 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section
27 of the Arms Act and later on Section 302 of the Indian Penal
Code was added.

3. According to prosecution case, two miscreants came
at the medicine shop of the informant where the informant along
with his father were sitting and one of the miscreant fired upon
the informant's father and fled away.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that petitioner was initially not named in the FIR but during investigation on the basis of the CCTV footage his name has been transpired where the informant has identified the petitioner. Except the aforesaid, no other cogent material has come during investigation which suggest the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence. Even the informant has not handed over the said CCTV footage to the prosecution and due to some previous dispute the informant has implicated the petitioner reason best known to the informant itself.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the informant has identified the miscreants who shot fire upon the father of the informant and the police have apprehended the co-accused persons. Later on, the said co-accused person and this petitioner have confessed their guilt in the present crime in question and they have also confirmed the police that the arm which was used in the present crime in question has been provided by the co-accused, namely, Vikas Singh. Thereafter, the confessional statement of Vikas Singh was also recorded. Apart from that the petitioner carries twelve



cases of almost similar nature other than the present one.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with Naubatpur P.S. Case No. 102 of 2024 pending in the court of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-VI, Danapur.

7. Prayer is refused.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

